

ORDINANCE NO. 4186

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND RELATING TO LAND USE AND PLANNING; ESTABLISHING A PLANNED ACTION FOR AN AREA IN THE MOSS BAY NEIGHBORHOOD GENERALLY LOCATED EAST OF 6TH STREET, SOUTH OF CENTRAL WAY/NE 85TH STREET, WEST OF 10TH STREET, AND NORTH OF KIRKLAND WAY PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, RCW 43.21C.031.

WHEREAS, the State Environmental Policy Act ("SEPA"), RCW 43.21C, and implementing rules (WAC 197-11) provide for the integration of environmental review with land use planning and project review through designation of "Planned Actions" by jurisdictions planning under the Growth Management Act ("GMA"); and

WHEREAS, designation of a Planned Action expedites the permitting process for subsequent, implementing projects whose impacts have been previously addressed in a Planned Action environmental impact statement ("EIS"), and thereby encourages desired growth and economic development; and

WHEREAS, the Planned Action EIS identifies impacts and mitigation measures associated with planned development in the subject Planned Action Area; and

WHEREAS, the Planned Action EIS also identifies impacts and mitigation measures associated with planned developments in two other Planned Action Areas previously designated in the Moss Bay Neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, these Planned Actions Areas were designated in Ordinance No. 4175, passed by the Kirkland City Council on December 16, 2008, and are shown as Area A and Area C in Exhibit A to Ordinance No. 4175 and in Exhibit A to this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the mitigation measures identified in Exhibit B to Ordinance No. 4175 and Exhibit B to this Ordinance address all three Planned Action Areas and not only the subject Planned Action Area;

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Kirkland do ordain as follows:

Section 1. Purpose. The purpose of this ordinance is to:

- A. Combine environmental analysis with land use planning;
- B. Streamline and expedite the development permit review process by relying on the EIS completed for the Planned Action;
- C. Establish criteria and procedures, consistent with state law, that will determine whether subsequent projects qualify as Planned Actions;
- D. Provide the public with an understanding of Planned Actions and how the City will process Planned Actions; and

E. Apply the City's development regulations together with the mitigation measures described in the EIS and this Ordinance to address the impacts of future development contemplated by the Planned Action.

Section 2. Findings. The City Council finds as follows:

A. The City is subject to the requirements of the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, and is located within an Urban Growth Area;

B. The City has adopted a Comprehensive Plan complying with the GMA;

C. The City is adopting development regulations applicable to the proposed development concurrent with adoption of this Planned Action Ordinance to address many of the impacts of future development;

D. The City has prepared an EIS complying with SEPA for the area designated as a Planned Action ("Planned Action EIS") and finds that it adequately addresses the probable significant environmental impacts associated with the type and amount of development planned to occur in the designated Planned Action area;

E. The mitigation measures identified in the Planned Action EIS are attached to this Ordinance as Exhibit B. The mitigation measures also apply to two Planned Action Areas previously designated by Ordinance No. 4175 and shown as Areas A and C in the Exhibit A to Ordinance No. 4175 and this Ordinance. These mitigation measures, together with City development regulations, will adequately mitigate significant impacts from development within the Planned Action area;

F. The Planned Action EIS and this Ordinance identify the location, type and amount of development that is contemplated by the Planned Action;

G. Future projects that are consistent with the Planned Action will protect the environment, benefit the public and enhance economic development;

H. The City has provided numerous opportunities for meaningful public involvement in the proposed Planned Action; has considered all comments received; and, as appropriate, has modified the proposal or mitigation measures in response to comments;

I. The proposal is not an essential public facility as defined by RCW 36.70A.200(1);

J. The Planned Action area applies to a defined area that is smaller than the overall City boundaries; and

K. Public services and facilities are adequate to serve the proposed Planned Action with the mitigation measures identified in Exhibit B.

Section 3. Procedures and criteria for evaluating and determining projects as Planned Actions:

A. Planned Action Area. The Planned Action designation shall apply to Area B in the Moss Bay Neighborhood as specifically shown in Exhibit A, "Planned Action Area": the three parcels located at 825, 903, and 911 Fifth Avenue totaling approximately 2.0 acres of land. Additionally, the Planned Action designation shall apply to any off-site improvements necessitated by proposed development on the subject sites, where the off-site improvements have been analyzed in the Planned Action EIS.

B. Environmental Document. A Planned Action determination for a site-specific permit application shall be based on the environmental analysis contained in the Draft Planned Action EIS issued by the City on April 4, 2008, and the Final Planned Action EIS published on October 16, 2008. The mitigation measures contained in Exhibit B, which is attached hereto and adopted by reference as though fully set forth herein, are based upon the findings of the Draft and Final EISs and shall, along with existing City codes, ordinances, and standards, provide the framework that the City will use to impose appropriate conditions on qualifying Planned Action projects. The Draft and Final EISs shall comprise the Planned Action EIS.

C. Planned Action Designated. Land uses described in the Planned Action EIS, subject to the thresholds described in Subsection D of this Section and the mitigation measures contained in Exhibit B, are designated Planned Actions pursuant to RCW 43.21C.031. A development application for a site-specific Planned Action project located within the Planned Action Area shall be designated a Planned Action if it meets the criteria set forth in Subsection D of this Section and applicable laws, codes, development regulations and standards of the City.

D. Planned Action Thresholds. The following thresholds shall be used to determine if a site-specific development proposed within the Planned Action area is contemplated by the Planned Action and has had its environmental impacts evaluated in the Planned Action EIS. Thresholds and required mitigation measures are based on the FEIS proposed action contained in the Planned Action FEIS:

(1) *Land Uses.* Subject to the mitigation measures described in Exhibit B, the following land uses, together with the customary accessory uses and amenities described in the Planned Action EIS, are Planned Actions pursuant to RCW 43.21C.031.

Office use is the primary use analyzed in the Planned Action EIS for Area B:

(2) *Land Use Review Threshold.*

(a) The Planned Action designation applies to future development proposals that are comparable or within the ranges established by the Planned Action FEIS, as shown below:

Land Use: 145,000 square feet of office

(b) If future development proposals in the Planned Action Area exceed the maximum development parameters reviewed in the Planned Action EIS, further environmental review may be required under SEPA, as provided in WAC 197-11-172. If proposed plans significantly change the location of development or uses in a manner that would alter the environmental determinations in the Planned Action EIS, additional SEPA review would also be required. Shifting development proposals between categories of land uses may be permitted so long as the resulting development does not exceed the trip generation thresholds (see subsection 4(a) below) reviewed in the Planned Action EIS.

(3) *Building Heights, Bulk, and Scale.* Building heights, bulk, and scale shall not exceed the maximums reviewed in the Planned Action EIS.

(4) *Transportation.*

(a) *Trip Ranges:* The range of trips reviewed in the Planned Action EIS is as follows:

Trip Generation – Net New Trips Reviewed in Planned Action EIS

PM Peak Hour: Range – Net New Trips – 219.

(b) *Trip Threshold.* Development proposals that would exceed the maximum trips levels shown above will require additional SEPA review.

(c) *Public Works Discretion.* The City Public Works Director shall have discretion to determine incremental and total trip generation, consistent with the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (latest edition) or an alternative manual accepted at the City Public Works Director's sole discretion, for each Planned Action Project permit application proposed under this Planned Action. It is understood that development of the Planned Action may occur in parts and over a period of years. The City shall require that off-site mitigation and transportation improvements identified in the Planned Action EIS be implemented in conjunction with development to maintain adopted levels of service standards.

(d) *Transportation improvements.*

(i) *Intersection Improvements.* The Planned Action will require off-site transportation improvements identified in Exhibit B to mitigate significant impacts. These transportation improvements have been analyzed in the Planned Action EIS. The City Public Works Director shall have the discretion to adjust the allocation of responsibility for required improvements as between individual Planned Action Projects based on their identified impacts. Significant changes to the City's

transportation improvement plan proposed as part of any Planned Action Project that have the potential to significantly increase impacts to air quality, water quality, fisheries resources, noise levels or other factors beyond the levels analyzed in the Planned Action EIS may require additional SEPA review.

(ii) Transportation Management Program. The owners or operators of development projects within Area B shall prepare and implement Transportation Management Programs (TMP) as a means to encourage alternatives to single-occupant vehicles including transit and to thereby reduce traffic generation and parking demand. The City Public Works Director shall have the discretion to modify the individual elements of a TMP as a means to accomplish its objectives and to enhance its effectiveness.

(iii) Parking Management. Parking to support development within Area B shall be provided as required by the Kirkland Zoning Code. A developer may choose to reduce the number of parking spaces based on a demand and utilization study prepared by a licensed transportation engineer. The City's Transportation Engineer must approve the scope and methodology of the study as well as the effectiveness of the TMP and parking management measures.

(e) Transportation Impact Fees. All Planned Action Projects shall pay, as a condition of approval, the applicable transportation impacts fees according to the methodology contained in the ordinance adopting such impact fees. The City may adjust such fees from time to time.

(f) Capital Facilities. Improvements to water facilities are identified in Exhibit B. The City Public Works Director shall have the discretion to determine and allocate responsibility for required improvements as between individual Planned Action projects.

(5) *Changed Conditions*. Should environmental conditions or assumptions change significantly from those analyzed in the Planned Action EIS, the City's SEPA Responsible Official may determine that the Planned Action designation is no longer applicable until supplemental environmental review is conducted.

(6) *Additional Mitigation Fees*. The City may adopt and apply such other fees as may be deemed necessary and appropriate to mitigate impacts to other capital facilities in the City and to accommodate planned growth. Such fees, if adopted, shall be in addition to the fee required in item (4)(e) of this subsection, and shall apply only to required improvements that are not addressed in this subsection.

E. Planned Action Review Criteria.

(1) The City's Planning and Community Development Director or designee is authorized to designate a project application that meets all of the following conditions as a Planned Action pursuant to RCW 43.21C.031(2)(a):

(a) The project is located within the Planned Action Area identified in Exhibit A, pursuant to Section 3(A) of this ordinance or is an off-site improvement directly related to a proposed development within the Planned Action Area;

(b) The project is consistent with the City of Kirkland Comprehensive Plan and the Comprehensive Plan policies for the Downtown Plan;

(c) The project's significant adverse environmental impacts have been adequately addressed in the Planned Action EIS;

(d) The proposed uses are consistent with those described in the Planned Action EIS and Section 3(D) of this Ordinance;

(e) The project is within the Planned Action thresholds of Section 3(D) and other criteria of this section of this Ordinance;

(f) The project's significant impacts have been mitigated by application of the measures identified in Exhibit B, as well as other City, county, state and federal requirements and conditions, including compliance with any conditions agreed to pursuant to a development agreement between the City and applicant if executed, which together constitute sufficient mitigation for the significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed project;

(g) The proposed project complies with all applicable local, state and/or federal laws and regulations, and where appropriate, the proposed project complies with needed variances or modifications or other special permits which have been identified; and

(h) The proposed project is not an essential public facility.

F. Effect of Planned Action.

(1) Upon designation by the City's Planning and Community Development Director that the project qualifies as a Planned Action pursuant to this Ordinance and WAC 197-11-172, the project shall not require a SEPA threshold determination, preparation of an EIS, or be subject to further review under SEPA.

(2) Being designated as a Planned Action means that a proposed project has been reviewed in accordance with this Ordinance and found to be consistent with the development parameters and environmental analysis contained in the Planned Action EIS.

(3) Planned Actions that meet all criteria established in this ordinance will not be subject to further procedural review under SEPA. However, projects will be subject to conditions as outlined in this document and the attached Exhibit B which are designed to mitigate any environmental impacts which may result from the project proposal. Additionally, projects will be subject to applicable City, state, and federal regulatory requirements. The Planned Action designation shall not excuse a project from meeting the City's code and ordinance requirements apart from the SEPA process.

G. Planned Action Permit Process. The City's Planning and Community Development Director or designee shall review projects and determine whether they meet the criteria as Planned Actions under applicable state, federal, local laws, regulations, codes and ordinances. The procedures shall consist, at a minimum of the following:

(1) Development applications shall meet the applicable requirements of the Kirkland Municipal Code (KMC). Applications shall be made on forms provided by the City and shall include a SEPA checklist, revised SEPA checklist or such other environmental review forms provided by the City;

(2) The City's Planning and Community Development Director shall determine whether the application is complete;

(3) If the application is for a project within the Planned Action Area shown as Area B on Exhibit A, the application will be reviewed to determine if it is consistent with and meets all of the qualifications of Section 3 of this Ordinance;

(4) After the City receives and reviews a complete application, the City's Planning and Community Development Director shall determine whether the project qualifies as a Planned Action. If the project does qualify, the Director shall notify the applicant and the project shall proceed in accordance with the applicable permit review procedure, except that no SEPA threshold determination, EIS, or additional SEPA review shall be required. The decision of the Director regarding qualification as a Planned Action shall be final;

(5) Public notice and review for projects that qualify as Planned Actions shall be tied to the underlying development permit and not to SEPA notice requirements. If notice is otherwise required for the underlying permit, the notice shall state that the project has qualified as a Planned Action. If notice is not otherwise required for the underlying permit, no special notice is required by this ordinance;

(6) If a project is determined not to qualify as a Planned Action, the City's Planning and Community Development Director shall so notify the applicant and the SEPA Responsible Official shall prescribe a SEPA review procedure consistent with the City's SEPA regulations and the requirements of state law. The notice

shall describe the elements of the application that result in failure to qualify as a Planned Action. If deemed ineligible, the application may be amended to qualify; and

(7) Projects that fail to qualify as Planned Actions may incorporate or otherwise use relevant elements of the Planned Action EIS, as well as other relevant SEPA documents, to assist in meeting SEPA requirements. The SEPA Responsible Official may limit the scope of SEPA review for the non-qualifying project to those issues and environmental impacts not previously addressed in the Planned Action EIS.

H. Development Agreements. The City or an applicant may request consideration and execution of a development agreement for a Planned Action project. The development agreement may address the following: review procedures applicable to a planned action project; permitted uses; mitigation measures; construction, financing and implementation of improvements, including methods of financing and proportionate shares, and latecomers agreements; payment of impact fees; phasing; and any other topic that may properly be considered in a development agreement consistent with RCW 36.70B.170 et seq.

I. Monitoring and Review.

A. The City shall monitor the progress of development in the designated Planned Action area to ensure that it is consistent with the assumptions of this Ordinance and the Planned Action EIS regarding the type and amount of development and associated impacts, and with the mitigation measures and improvements planned for the Planned Action area.

B. This Planned Action Ordinance shall be reviewed by the SEPA Responsible Official as part of the City's ongoing Comprehensive Plan update procedure to determine its continuing validity with respect to the environmental conditions of the Planned Action Area, the impacts of development, and the adequacy of required mitigation measures. Based upon this review, this Ordinance may be amended as needed, the City may supplement or revise the Planned Action EIS, and/or another review period may be specified. Subsequent reviews of the Planned Action Ordinance shall occur as part of the City's Comprehensive Plan amendment process.

Section 4. Conflict. In the event of a conflict between this Ordinance or any mitigation measures imposed pursuant thereto and any ordinance or regulation of the City, the provisions of this Ordinance shall control, except that the provisions of the state building code shall supersede this Ordinance. In the event of a conflict between this Ordinance (or any mitigation measures imposed pursuant thereto) and any development agreement between the City and a Planned Action applicant(s), the provisions of the development agreement shall control.

Section 5. Severability. Should any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance or its application be declared unconstitutional or

invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance or its application to any other person or situation.

Section 6. Expiration. This Ordinance shall expire ten (10) years from the date of passage unless it is extended by the City Council following a report from the SEPA Responsible Official and a public hearing.

Section 7. This ordinance shall be in force and effect five days from and after its passage by the Kirkland City Council and publication pursuant to Section 1.08.017, Kirkland Municipal Code in the summary form attached to the original of this ordinance and by this reference approved by the City Council.

Passed by majority vote of the Kirkland City Council in open meeting this 17th day of March, 2009.

Signed in authentication thereof this 17th day of March, 2009.


MAYOR


Attest:


City Clerk


Approved as to Form:


City Attorney


Vicinity Map




Vicinity Map



Map Legend

-  Planned Action Areas
- A = Touchstone (Park Place)
- B = Orni
- C = Altom



Produced by the City of Kirkland.
 © 2007, the City of Kirkland, all rights reserved.
 No warranties of any sort, including but not limited to accuracy,
 fitness or merchantability, accompany this product.



Planned Action Ordinance Mitigation Measures

Table 3.4-18. Potential Capacity Improvements to Address Impacts

ID	Location	Improvement	No Action ¹			Proposed Action ¹			FEIS Review ¹		
			2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc	2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc	2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc
4	Central Way/Parkplace Driveway	Install signal	X			X			X		
101	Lake Washington Boulevard/NE 38th Place	Add 720-ft right lane on northbound receiving lanes (north of the intersection), modified to extend up to NE 43rd St w/ bike lanes)			X			X			X
105	Central Way/6th Street	Construct dual westbound left turn lane and a southbound right turn lane between Central and 4 th Avenue. Modify signal to provide westbound left/northbound right overlap phase.				X		X	X		X
109	NE 85th Street/114th Avenue NE	Restripe southbound dual left and eastbound right to through conversion.	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
110	6th Street/4th Avenue	Dual eastbound left turn, with widening on 6th Street				X			X		
112	Kirkland Way/6th Street	Install signal. (CIP Project #TR20-3)				X			X		
128	Central Way/5th Street	Install signal.				X			X		
129	Central Way/4th Street	Extend two-way-left-turn by moving crosswalk to Parkplace Signal	X			X			X		
169	6th Street/7th Avenue	Add left turn lanes on northbound				X					

Final Environmental Impact Statement

ID	Location	Improvement	No Action ¹			Proposed Action ¹			FEIS Review ¹		
			2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc	2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc	2014 TIA	2014 Conc	2022 Conc
		and southbound approaches									
169	6th Street/7th Avenue	Add northbound approach left turn lane							X		
202	100th Avenue NE/NE 124th Street	Modify the signal phase to be the same as during AM peak period, with northbound and southbound to be split phase, and southbound configuration to be left, left/through shared, and through/right shared. ²						X			X
204	116th Way NE/NE 132nd Street	Reconfigure the intersection based on the 132nd Street Study and new I-405 northbound on-ramp			X			X			X
211	Market Street/15th Avenue	Install signal.				X					
211	Market Street/15th Avenue	Install signal at this location or at 7 th Avenue.							X		
304	NE 132nd Street/124th Street NE	Construct eastbound dual left turn lane, based on the 132nd Street Study			X			X			X
316	Totem Lake Boulevard/NE 132nd Street	Reconfigure the intersection based on the 132nd Street Study and new I-405 northbound on-ramp			X			X			X
402	NE 85th Street/124th Avenue NE	Add northbound right-turn-only pocket				X					
402	NE 85th Street/124th Avenue NE	Provide corridor improvements such as traffic signal interconnect							X		

¹TIA = Traffic Impact Analysis; Conc = Concurrency

² No concurrency impact was identified at this intersection. This mitigation measure is recommended in order to improve conditions in the subarea, to address the concurrency impact that was identified in the northwest subarea under the 2022 Proposed Action scenario.

Police

Provision of on-site security services including video surveillance systems, to Area A in particular, may reduce the increased need for police response to that area. This reduction is largely dependent on the nature of the incident.

Security-sensitive design of buildings and the landscaping environment, such as installing only moderate height and density border shrubs, could reduce certain types of crimes, such as auto and store-front break-ins.

Water

No Action

The following water mitigation measures are required under the No Action:

- Segment A. This segment includes improvements identified as part of improvement number CIP 144. Replace an existing 8-inch diameter water main in Area A with a new 12-inch diameter water main. Replace the existing connections on the north side of Area A, crossing Central Way west of 5th Street and on the east side of the Area, crossing 6th Street south of 4th Avenue with 12-inch diameter water mains. Construct a new 12-inch diameter connection at the south side of Area A so that a looped connection is created to connect the proposed on-site 12-inch main to the existing 8-inch and 12-inch diameter water mains under Kirkland Avenue.
- Segment B. Replace the existing 8-inch water main along 6th Street with a new 12-inch water main between the east side of the Parkplace water main loop to approximately the intersection of 6th Street and Kirkland Circle.
- Segment C. Replace the existing 8-inch water main along Kirkland Circle from 6th Street to 4th Avenue with a new 12-inch main.
- Segment D. Replace the existing 8-inch water main along 4th Avenue, 5th Avenue, and 10th Street from Kirkland Circle to 3rd Avenue with a new 12 inch main.

In addition to the above segments, one of the following segments must also be constructed in order to accommodate development under either the No Action or Proposed Action alternatives.

- Segment E. Install a new 12-inch water main along the unimproved right-of-way between 2nd Avenue and 5th Avenue from approximately 4th Avenue to 10th Street. This improvement is not identified in the City of Kirkland Comprehensive Water System Plan.

- Segment F. Replace the existing 8-inch water main along 2nd Avenue and 10th Street from 6th Street to 3rd Avenue with a new 12-inch main.

Proposed Action and FEIS Review Alternative

In addition to the improvements required under No Action, the Proposed Action will require that the new 12-inch water main in Segment C (located at Kirkland Circle from 6th Street to 4th Avenue) be enlarged to a 16-inch main.

PUBLICATION SUMMARY
OF ORDINANCE NO. 4186

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND RELATING TO LAND USE AND PLANNING; ESTABLISHING A PLANNED ACTION FOR AN AREA IN THE MOSS BAY NEIGHBORHOOD GENERALLY LOCATED EAST OF 6TH STREET, SOUTH OF CENTRAL WAY/NE 85TH STREET, WEST OF 10TH STREET, AND NORTH OF KIRKLAND WAY PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, RCW 43.21C.031.

SECTION 1. Explains purpose of the Planned Action.

SECTION 2. Sets forth City Council findings relative to the Planned Action.

SECTION 3. Outlines procedures and criteria for evaluating and determining projects as Planned Actions.

SECTION 4. Provides that the ordinance and mitigation measures imposed by the ordinance shall control in the event a of conflict with other ordinances and regulations of the City, except in the case of conflicting provisions of the state building code or any development agreement between the City and a Planned Action applicant.

SECTION 5. Provides a severability clause for the ordinance.

SECTION 6. Provides the ordinance shall expire ten years from the date of passage unless extended by the City Council following a report from the SEPA Responsible Official and a public hearing.

SECTION 7. Authorizes publication of the ordinance by summary, which summary is approved by the City Council pursuant to Section 1.08.017 Kirkland Municipal Code and establishes the effective date as five days after publication of summary.

The full text of this Ordinance will be mailed without charge to any person upon request made to the City Clerk for the City of Kirkland. The Ordinance was passed by the Kirkland City Council at its meeting on the 17th day of March, 2009.

I certify that the foregoing is a summary of Ordinance 4186 approved by the Kirkland City Council for summary publication.



City Clerk