RESOLUTION NO 3766

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND APPROVING THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE MUNICIPALITY OF METROPOLITAN SEATTLE ("METRO") AND THE CITY OF KIRKLAND ("CITY"), HEREINAFTER JOINTLY REFERRED TO AS THE "PARTIES", FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTING THE WASHINGTON STATE COMMUTE TRIP REDUCTION ACT OF 1991

WHEREAS, the Washington State Legislature enacted the Commute Trip Reduction Act (Chapter 202, Laws of 1991, codified as RCW 70 94 521-551) to require local governments in those counties experiencing the greatest automobile-related air pollution and traffic congestion to develop and implement plans to reduce vehicle miles traveled per employee and single-occupant vehicle commute trips, and

WHEREAS, the City has within its boundaries one or more "major employers" and is required to RCW 70 94 527 to develop and implement a commute trip reduction plan, and

WHEREAS, the Parties hereto are authorized to enter into this Agreement pursuant to RCW 70 94 527(6) and Chapter 39 34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act, and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council adopted Resolution No 6267 authorizing the execution and administration of agreements with state and local agencies for assistance in implementing the Commute Trip Reduction Act, and

WHEREAS, the local jurisdiction commute trip reduction plans are required to be coordinated and consistent with plans of adjacent jurisdictions and applicable regional plans, and

WHEREAS, the City and Metro desire to implement the Commute Trip Reduction Act consistent with the guidelines established by the state Commute Trip Reduction Task Force and with King County and other cities within the county, and

WHEREAS, the City can achieve cost efficiencies and administration consistency by contracting with Metro for CTR implementation,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Kirkland as follows

Section 1. The City Manager of the City of Kirkland is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City an Interlocal Agreement substantially similar to that attached as Exhibit A

of	PA October	SSED	by majority vote of the , 1992	Kırkland	Cıty	Counc	1 on the _20	<u>Oth</u>	day
	SIGNED October			thereof)		_20th	day	of
				1/2	K) سعو			

Mayor

Attest

COMMUTE TRIP REDUCTION ACT IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT

An interlocal agreement b tw n th Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle ("Metro") and the City of Kirkland ("City"), hereinafter jointly referred to as the "Parties," for the purpose of implementing the Washington State Commute Trip Reduction Act of 1991.

WHEREAS, the Washington State Legislature enacted the Commut Trip Reduction Act (Chapter 202, Laws of 1991, codified as RCW 70.94.521-551) to require local governments in those counties xperiencing the greatest automobile-related air pollution and traffic congestion to develop and implement plans to reduce vehicl miles traveled per employee and single occupant vehicle commut trips; and

WHEREAS, the City has within its boundaries one or more "major employers" and is required by RCW 70.94.527 to develop and implement a commute trip reduction plan; and

WHEREAS, the Parties hereto are authorized to enter into this Agreement pursuant to RCW 70.94.527 (6) and Chapter 39.34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act; and

WHEREAS, the Metro Council adopted Resolution No. 6267 authorizing the execution and administration of agreements with state and local agencies for assistance in implementing the Commut Trip Reduction Act; and

WHEREAS, the local jurisdiction commute trip reduction plans are required to be coordinated and consistent with plans of adjacent jurisdictions and applicable regional plans; and

WHEREAS, the City and Metro desire to implement the Commut Trip Reduction Act consistent with the guidelines established by the state Commute Trip Reduction Task Force and with King County and other cities within the county; and

WHEREAS, the City can achieve cost efficiencies and administrative consistency by contracting with Metro for CTR implementation;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants herein, it is hereby agreed:

SECTION 1.0 PURPOSE.

The purpose of this Agreement is to assign certain tasks to b undertaken by Metro on behalf of the City to implement the CTR Act.

Commute Trip Reduction Interlocal Agre m nt Page 2

SECTION 2.0 DEFINITIONS.

The following definitions shall apply for purposes of this Agr ement:

"Administrative Representative" means the primary administrative contact for issues related to this Agreement as designated in S ction 3.4 of the Agreement.

"Affected Employer" means an employer required by RCW 70.94.521 and the City's CTR Plan to implement a CTR program (see also "major mployer").

"C mmute Trip Reduction Plan (CTR Plan)" means a plan adopted by th City designed to reduce the proportion of single occupant vehicle commute trips and vehicle miles traveled per employee, as d scribed in RCW 70.94.527.

"Commute Trip Reduction Program (CTR Program)" means a program d signed by an affected employer to reduce the proportion of singl occupant vehicle commute trips and the commute trip vehicle mil s traveled by employees at a worksite, as described in RCW 70.94.531.

"CTR Grant Funds" means state funds authorized by RCW 70.94.544 and Section 301 of the Natural Resources biennial budget to help counties and cities implement commute trip reduction plans.

"Countywide Interlocal Agreement (ILA) Services" means those services and products resulting from work performed by Metro under separate contract with King County and cities within the County to benefit all King County jurisdictions required to adopt plans und r th CTR Act.

"Major Employer" means a private or public employer that employs one hundred or more full-time employees at a single worksite who begin their regular workday between 6:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. on w kdays for at least twelve continuous months during the year, as provided in RCW 70.94.521 (herein also known as an "affect d employer").

"S attle Shared Services" means those products specified in the attached Scope of Work which are produced by Metro under separat contract with the City of Seattle to benefit the City of Seattle as well as all King County jurisdictions required to adopt plans und r th CTR Act.

"State" is the Washington State Energy Office (WSEO) unl ss otherwise noted.

Commut Trip R duction Interlocal Agreement Pag 3

SECTION 3.0 SCOPE OF WORK.

- 3.1 Scope of Work: The scope of work to be completed by Metro and the City in accordance with this Agreement is described in Exhibit A: Scope of Work, which by reference is made a part of this Agreement. Funds provided by the City to Metro under this Agreement shall be used solely for activities undertak n to fulfill the provisions of the scope of work as provided in Exhibit A.
- "Scope of Work Based on Availability of "Countywide ILA" and "Seattle Shared Services" Products: The scope of work assum s Metro's work for the City will be developed from products and services previously created under the Countywide ILA and th Seattle CTR contact with Metro. The City understands this will affect the schedule and specific tasks and products f r the work to be completed by Metro for the City under this Agreement. Metro will consider, as feasible, the City's unique needs in developing the Seattle shared servic s products. The City recognizes that any significant departur from the provisions of the CTR Act or State CTR Task Force Guidelines may affect regional CTR consistency as well as th cost of this contract.
- 3.3 Schedule and Reviews: The Scope of Works tasks are divid d into two phases. Phase 1 covers a review of availabl products for applicability to the City, further refinement and budget adjustment. The work done in Phase 1 will be used to more precisely describe the Phase 2 implementation tasks and costs. Phase 2 works shall not proceed unless Metro and City representatives agree in writing on the programs to be implemented in Phase 2 and the compensation that will be payble to Metro for Phase 2 activities. The schedule for both phases is specified in Exhibit B: CTR Implementation Schedule. The schedule and budget may be adjusted based on the date th City adopts its CTR ordinance.

During Phase 1 the City shall have ten (10) working days aft r receiving a draft product from Metro to review and comment on it. Metro will revise each draft product in response to the City comments within five (5) working days after receiving comments.

3.4 Administrative Representatives: Metro and the City shall ach designate an administrative representative for matters pertaining to this Agreement.

Commute Trip R duction Interlocal Agreem nt Page 4

Metro shall be r pres nt d by th Supervisor of Mark t Development or his designee. The City shall be represented by the Director of Planning or his designee.

3.5 Evaluation and Monitoring: At the request of the City, Metro shall provide information for any monitoring or evaluati n activities conducted by the State related to and consistent with the intent of the Commute Trip Reduction Act.

SECTION 4.0 DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS.

4.1 Budget: The budget for work to be performed through December 30, 1993 is specified in Exhibit C. The Phase 1 budget amount is the total compensation payable to Metro for Phas 1 activities. The Phase 2 budget amount is an estimate that may need adjustment based on information developed in Phase 1, but shall not exceed the total amount shown in Exhibit C for Phas 2 work unless the City authorizes additional work. Phas 2 work shall not proceed unless Metro and the City representatives agree in writing on the activities and compensation for Phase 2.

Exhibit C includes the costs of the East subarea in total, and the City's specific share of those costs. Costs for most tasks are distributed in proportion to the City's share f East King County's total CTR allocation. The City understands that CTR project costs are based on pooled resources for s ven (7) Eastside jurisdictions. The City will be charged only for its proportionate share of these pooled resources.

- 4.2 Equipment: Equipment to be purchased under this Agreement shall be identified in Phase 1 and shall be used exclusively for the purpose of CTR administration for the City and other jurisdictions in King County. Metro shall own all such equipment and maintain it at no additional cost to the City.
- 4.3 Payment: Metro shall be paid according to the schedule and amounts set forth below.

Metro shall submit to the City an invoice voucher and quarterly written progress reports. The City shall mak payment to Metro within thirty (30) days of receipt of th invoice. The Phase 1 payment shall be a fixed amount and Phase 2 payments shall be a percentage of the final Phase 2 amounts agreed upon, as follows:

Phase	Payment	Invoice Submitted No Earlier Than	Amount/Paym nt		
Phase 1	1st payment	November 30, 1992	\$ 5,706		
Phase 2	1st paym nt	February 29, 1993	25%		

Commute Trip Reduction Int rlocal Agreement Page 5

Phase 2	2nd payment	May 31, 1993	25%
Phase 2	3rd payment	August 31, 1993	25%
Phase 2	final payment	November 30, 1993	25%

BECTION 5.0 AUDITING OF RECORDS, DOCUMENTS, AND REPORTS.

The State Auditor and any of its representatives shall have full access to and the right to examine during normal business hours and as often as the state Auditor may deem necessary, all the records f the City and Metro with respect to all matters covered in this Agreement. Each Party to the Agreement shall have similar access and rights with respect to the records of the other Party. Such r presentatives shall be permitted to audit, examine, and mak excerpts or transcripts from such records and to make audits of all contracts, invoices, materials, payrolls, and records of matt rs covered by this Agreement. Such rights last for three (3) years from the date final payment is made hereunder.

SECTION 6.0 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY.

Metro agrees to abide by all applicable federal and state statut s and regulations prohibiting employment discrimination.

SECTION 7.0 WOMEN AND MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE.

M tro agrees to abide by the terms of Metro Council Resolution No. 6054 in the procurement of materials, supplies, consultant or ther services undertaken in the performance of this Agreement.

SECTION 8.0 WAIVER OF DEFAULT.

Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver of breach of any provision of the agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the agreement unless stated to be such in writing, signed by an authorized representatives of the County, and attached to the original agreement.

SECTION 9.0 SEVERABILITY.

Should any clause, phrase, sentence or paragraph of this Agreem nt be declared invalid or void, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect if such remaind r c ntinues to conform to the terms and requirements of applicable law and the intent of this Agreement.

Commute Trip Reduction Int rlocal Agreement Page 6

SECTION 10.0 INDEMNIFICATION AND HOLD HARMLEBS.

It is understood and agreed that this Agreement is solely for the benefit of the parties hereto and gives no right to any ther party. No joint venture or partnership is formed as a r sult f this agreement. Each party hereto agrees to be responsible and assumes liability for its own negligent acts or omissions, and those of its officers, agents or employees, while performing w rk pursuant to this Agreement, to the fullest extent required by law, and agrees to save, indemnify, defend, and hold the other parties harmless from any such liability. In the case of negligence of multiple parties, any damages allowed shall be assessed in proportion to the percentage of negligence attributable to ach party, and each party shall have the right to seek contribution from the other parties in proportion to the percentage of negligence attributable to the other parties.

The City acknowledges it is solely responsible for its compliance with the CTR Act, and for the adoption, implementation, and nforcement of any ordinances, plans, and programs related to the CTR Act. The City shall indemnify and hold Metro harmless from, and shall process and defend, at its own expense, any and all claims, demands, suits at law or equity, actions, penalties, losses, damages, or costs arising out of, in connection with, or incidental to any act or omission of the City or any of its officers, employees, subcontractors or agents in adopting or nforcing any City ordinances, plans and programs related to the CTR Act.

The parties hereto acknowledge that the State of Washington is not liable for damage or claims from damages arising from any act or omission of Metro or the City under this Agreement.

SECTION 11.0 AGREEMENT PERIOD.

This Agreement is effective from September 1, 1992. The expiration date for purposes of performing substantive work as described in Exhibit A (Scope of Work) and for incurring costs is October 31, 1993, and for final accounting purposes is December 31, 1993, unless the parties agree to an extension. Termination of this Agreement does not relieve any of the Parties from any obligations incurred through the date of termination as a result of this Agreement.

BECTION 12.0 AGREEMENT MODIFICATIONS.

This Agreement may be amended, altered, clarified or extended only by written agreement of the authoriz d representatives of the City and M tr .

Commut Trip Reducti n Interlocal Agre ment Page 7

SECTION 13.0 CONTRACT EXTENSION.

The City and Metro will review the Phase 1 and Phase 2 activiti s prior to December 31, 1993. Based on that review, Metro shall d velop a scope of work, schedule, and cost estimates for ongoing CTR implementation activities for the period through June 30, 1995. Metro will assist City staff in the presentation of the revis d scope of work to the City's elected officials.

SECTION 14.0 TERMINATION.

- 14.1 Either party to this Agreement may terminate the Agreement, in whole or in part, upon thirty (30)days' advance written notic of the termination to the other party. If this Agreement is so terminated prior to fulfillment of the terms stated her in, Metro shall be reimbursed for all actual direct and relat d indirect expenses and noncancellable obligations incurred to date of termination.
- 14.2 If at any time during the Agreement period the State acts to terminate, reduce, modify, or withhold CTR Grant Funds allotted to the City pursuant to RCW 79.94.544 then eith r party may terminate this Agreement by giving thirty (30) days advance written notice to the other party.

Dated this	day of		1992.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the pa as of the day first above	arties hereto have executed e mentioned.	l this	Agreem	nt
Approved as to form:	METRO:			
By	By Executive Direct	tor		
Approved as to form:	CITY OF			
By	By Title			
OR:				

4 🖰

EXHIBIT A

CITY OF KIRKLAND COMMUTE TRIP REDUCTION (CTR) IMPLEMENTATION METRO SCOPE OF WORK

Task coverage: All tasks through first employer program

review.

C st coverage: Through October 31, 1993 (or 10 months after

ordinance adoption); costs cover 7

jurisdictions in East King

County, and 273 affected employers, unless

otherwise noted.

Organization of this scope of work

Elements and tasks: This scope of work contains five elements. These are major functional categories needed through the first pr gram review in October 1993 (assuming January 31, 1993 ordinance passage). Each element is divided into tasks, which ar smaller areas of work within the elements.

R quirement: Each element is introduced with an explanation of what is required under the CTR law, recommended in the guidelines, and necessary in order to accomplish the implementation of the law. Some areas of work are not required per se, but are needed to comply with the law effectively.

Available products: Some products and services are available t affected jurisdictions by the state or by other related contracts in King County. Any such products are described for each 1 ment. Through the King County CTR Coordinating Committee, each jurisdiction will have an opportunity to review the shared products of Seattle's contract prior to Seattle's final approval.

Phases: The elements described in this scope of work are divid d into two phases. Phase 1 covers the design and planning of each element, including refined cost estimates. Phase 1 will begin with a review of available products to be tailored to city needs. Phase 1 will end with the city's approval and notice to proceed. Phase 2 covers implementation of that element.

C st estimates: Costs are estimated for each task for the 7 affected jurisdictions in East King County and their 273 affect d mployers. The cost period runs through October 31, 1993 (which assumes January 31, 1993 ordinance adoption), or 10 months after rdinance adoption. These costs are not contract budgets; they are estimates that will be refined during the phase one contract period. Labor costs cover wages, benefits, and indirect costs at 30 perc nt. The estimates are a c mbinati n f fixed costs, which are not d pendent n th number f affect d mployers, and



, 1

variable costs, which may chang by the number of affected mployers. A summary of all cost estimates is shown on an attached budget summary sheet. The summary contains an additional amount for contingencies.

City role: For each task, the city's responsibility is explained s that the roles of Metro and the city will be clear. The city role is not included in the cost estimates.

38

ELEMENT I: ORDINANCE REVIEW AND DEVELOPMENT

Requirement: Per RCW 70.94.527, the County/City is required to adopt by October 1, 1992 (revised by the State Commute Trip Reduction Task Force with approval by the Attorney General's Office to January 31, 1993) a commute trip reduction plan for all maj r employers. The plan shall be developed in cooperation with local transit agencies, regional transportation planning organizations (the Puget Sound Regional Council), major employers, and the owners of and employers at major worksites. Th plan shall include but is not limited to (1) goals for reductions in single-occupant vehicle (SOV) trips and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per employee; (2) designation of commute trip reduction zones and a means for determining base year values for the zones against which to measure employer progress in achieving SOV and VMT goals; (3) requirements for employers to implement CTR programs; (4) means for ensuring credit for the results of transportation demand management programs implemented prior to 1992; (5) an appeals process for employers to seek to btain waivers or modifications of CTR requirements; (6) a review of the jurisdiction's local parking policies; and (7) a CTR program for the jurisdiction's own employees regardless of the size of the jurisdiction's work force.

Available Products: The State CTR Task Force created a model ordinance and administrative guidelines which could be adopted by the jurisdiction with modification. Under contract with the City of Seattle, Metro will review the draft Seattle ordinance to ensure compatibility between ordinance and administrative processes contemplated for Seattle's CTR implementation through contract with Metro.

PHASE 1

TASK I-1. Metro will review the City's draft ordinance for compatibility with CTR implementation procedures and consistency with other jurisdictions' ordinances.

Review Ordinance:

- A. Review draft ordinance with city staff including legal staff, checking for consistency with other jurisdictions and compatibility with procedures.
- B. Review any consistency and/or compatibility issues with city representatives.
- Submit final comments on draft ordinance to city.

Product: Comments on city's draft ordinance.

14

Cost Estimate for City:

Total = \$1,697

*Cost based on participation of jurisdictions with 10 or more affected employers.

City Role: Coordinate with Metro concerning drafting plan and ordinance, submit to Metro for comment. Consider Metro comments and consistency with other jurisdictions' ordinances. Conduct legal reviews, adoption process, and parking policy review. D velop and implement CTR program for city employees.

TASK I-2.

<u>Draft ordinance</u>. Metro will draft the city's plan and ordinance, including:

- A. Review State CTR model ordinance and Puget Sound Regional Council zones with city representatives to determine city needs.
- B. Draft city plan and ordinance.
- C. Serve as technical expert during city adoption process at public meetings, council or committee meetings (up to 5).
- D. Revise plan per internal and external comments.

Product: Draft ordinance for city staff to propose for adoption.

Cost Estimate for City:

55 hours x \$37.50/hour/jurisdiction = \$ 2,063 staff labor

City Role: Provide jurisdiction ordinance format to Metro. Conduct own legal reviews and make modifications in response to that review. Conduct ordinance adoption process and make any n cessary modifications. Inform Metro of parking policy revisions to be incorporated into CTR plan. Develop and implement CTR program for city employees within six months of ordinance adoption.

ELEMENT II. WORKSITE IDENTIFICATION AND NOTIFICATION

Requirement: The CTR law does not specifically require local g v rnments to identify or notify affected employers. However, the CTR guidelines call for employer notification by certified mail, return receipt, within 30 days after CTR ordinance adoption (though failure to receive notice does not relieve the employer from CTR requirements). Identification is therefore necessary in rder to send the required notification. It is also necessary to have an accurate count of affected worksites to know which citis must adopt CTR ordinances, and to distribute the proportional share of state funds to those cities in future biennia. Kn wledge of the location and characteristics of affected employers will help develop a responsive employer assistance program.

Available Products: The Washington State Energy Office (WSEO) has issued a list of major employers upon which the allocation of State technica assistance grant funds for the June 1991-June 1993 period was based. This list is known to contain errors, but was the best available information. WSEO has conducted a survey of the major employer list to determine which of those employers were "affected" employers and required to implement CTR programs. This list is also known to contain errors. There are additional surces of information such as proprietary lists of large or other wise significant employers and city data resulting from licensing or other municipal functions (though not all cities have such data).

Und r the Countywide interlocal agreement, Metro will merge available lists of affected worksites, correct where feasible, d termine jurisdiction location, enter the traffic analysis zon, CTR zone and goals for each worksite, and produce a preliminary list of affected worksites by jurisdiction. A copy of that list will be provided to each jurisdiction.

Und r contract with Seattle, Metro will: establish notification objectives, prepare notification procedures for initial notification, design notification letters and materials, estimate costs for notification. These products will be available to all King County jurisdictions.

PHASE 1

TASK II-1. Worksite Notification Planning: Metro will tailor a process and materials to notify employers, create a master notification list, and estimate notification costs for city approval.

- A. Review available products and materials with city.
- B. Recommend notification objectives to city for approval.
- C. Submit proposed adjustments to notification proc dur s

, 1

and materials t city f r approval.

D. Draft notification letters and materials for city approval.

E. Revise countywide employer list with any new or verified information and submit final notification list to city for approval.

F. Submit revised cost estimate to city for approval and authorization to proceed.

Products: Process and materials to notify affected employers, cost estimates for notification, reporting format, master notification list.

C st estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total allocation.)

135 hours x \$ 37.50/hour = \$5,063 staff labor

City role: Participate in reviewing available products for city applicability. Supply any additional worksite data available from city records. Verify worksite data per objectives.

PHASE 2

TASK II-2. Notify Employers. Metro will implement the approved notification process within the legal deadlines and report results to the city.

- A. Notify employers using approved procedures and materials.
- B. Follow-up with non-respondents (up to 2 additional letters and 2 phone calls per employer)
- C. Document notification actions and responses.
- D. Report affected employer status to city.

Product: Affected employers notified, responses documented and reported to city.

C st Estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total allocation.)

135 hours x \$32/hour = \$4,320 staff labor notification packet and 2 follow-up notices

137 hours x \$32/hour = \$4,384 staff labor 2 follow-up calls

Total = \$12,704

City role: Participate in establishing objectives, approve n tification objectives, procedures, and materials. Supply any w rksite data available from city records, verified per bj ctives (unless verification is contracted). Employer c ntact by city official if necessary.

ELEMENT III. EMPLOYER ASSISTANCE

R quirement: The CTR law establishes a state technical assistance team to help jurisdictions and employers implement programs. The law does not specify the elements or extent of the a sistance. The CTR guidelines call for jurisdictions to provide "basic services... to affected employers at no cost," including written information about the ordinance, initial training for employee transportation coordinators, materials that describe possible program elements, necessary forms. The state will provide survey forms and will process the surveys. Effective employer assistance should reduce the time and effort exp nded later for program review and should help employers achieve their goals.

Available products: The state will be creating some of the elements of employer training, but those products are not yet available or clearly defined. Under the Countywide interlocal agreement, Metro will develop a curriculum for employer workshops and will produce employer self-help kits. A copy of the curriculum will be distributed to each affected jurisdiction, and a self-help kit will be produced for each affected employer.

Und r contract with Seattle, Metro will test and refine the workshop curriculum with groups of employers, design an employ r r cognition program, create additional materials, and estimate implementation costs for the employer assistance plan. These products will be available to all King County jurisdictions. Metro also will identify additional training and materials that b come available from the state.

PHASE 1

TASK III-1. Employer Assistance Planning. Metro will base an employer assistance plan for the city's employers on the results of the countywide and Seattle efforts. For the city, Metro will:

- A. Review available products with city, including workshop curriculum, self-help kits, materials, employer recognition plan, and cost estimates for applicability to the city.
- B. Determine specific city needs and objectives.
- C. Adjust products per objectives.
- D. Submit workshop plan and materials for city approval.
- E. Submit revised cost estimate to city for approval and authorization to proceed.

product: A locally-applicable employer assistance plan, including workshop curricula and schedules, supporting mat rials d sign, and c st estimat s.

1

C st estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total allocation.)

100 hours x \$37.50/hr

= \$3,750 staff labor

= \$4,000 workshop

40 hours x \$ 100/hour consultant design one type of workshop (other workshop designs will be covered under other contracts and shared countywide)

Total = \$7,750

City role: Participate in review of available products and determination of objectives, approve employer assistance plan, and authorize implementation.

PHASE 2

TASK III-2. Employer Assistance Implementation. Metro will impl ment the employer assistance plan through the initial program submittal period.

- A. Print and distribute materials to all affected employers
- B. Conduct workshops per agreement.
- C. Conduct individual follow-up to workshops.
- D. Submit report to city on employer attendance, feedback, demand for specific workshops and/or materials.

Product: Documentation of employer assistance implementation.

C st estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total all cation.)

8 hours x \$30/hour x 273 employers

= \$65,520 staff

, 1

labor

= \$21,600

\$400 per workshop x 51 workshops
consultant
conduct series of 5 types of
workshops for affected employers (program
development, ETC training, promotions,
survey assistance, incentive programs).
Assumes 60% average attendance.

\$20/workshop x 4 workshop types* x 273 = \$21,840 mat rials

materials
print/design materials for workshops for
all affected employers. (*Materials for
program development workshop will be paid by
countywide funds)

\$0.27 x 25,000 employees print employer program support materials for employees. = \$34,750 printing

Employer recognition, such as 1 newspaper ad, Metro bus signs.

= \$ 8,000 ads

\$10 postage x 273 employers

= \$2,730 postage

Total = \$154,440

, 1

ELEMENT IV. PROGRAM REVIEW AND REPORTING

TIETKE TIHKKET DEVELOPHENT

Requirement: Jurisdictions must receive and review employer programs and annual reports; consider applications for credit, extensions, modifications, exemptions; assess program likelihood f meeting goals; suggest program changes; enforce requirements; and report progress to the state. To report employer progress and support enforcement actions, jurisdictions will need to maintain thorough records.

Available Products: The state will design annual report forms for mployers and a reporting format for jurisdictions. Under th countywide interlocal agreement, Metro will identify information needs and data sources for progress reports, and will develop an evaluation plan for annual reporting.

Under Seattle's contract, Metro will produce the following shared pr ducts: review and reporting procedures and criteria; schedule; issues resolution process; database design for complete documentation; and cost estimates for review and reporting.

PHASE 1

TASK IV-1. <u>Program Review Planning</u>. Metro will base program review process on products available from Countywids and Seattle contracts, and will:

A. Review available products with the city to determine local needs for program review and reporting.

B. Submit proposed adjustments to procedures for city approval.

C. Submit proposed issue resolution process.

D. Submit proposed criteria for program review and other administrative procedures.

E. Submit cost estimate for program review, issues resolution, and reporting to city for approval and authorization to proceed.

Product: Program review procedures and criteria and issus resolution process tailored to local needs; cost estimates.

Cost estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total allocation.)

135 hours x \$32/hr = \$4,320 staff labor

City role: Participate in review of available products and needs determination. Approve procedures and criteria for program review and authorize implementation. Adopt administrative proc dur s, if n cessary.

, 1

PHASE 2

TASK IV-2. <u>Program Review Implementation</u>. Metro will implement th program review process.

- A. Review employer programs for completeness and compliance.
- B. Contact employers with incomplete programs.
- C. Review requests for credit, extensions, modifications, exemptions, and other special circumstances.
- D. Assess program likelihood of meeting goals and suggest revisions.
- E. Notify employers of approved programs and annual report date.
- F. Respond to employer questions by phone.
- G. Record all employer interactions.
- H. Notify city of disputes requiring resolution.
- I. Initiate and support issue resolution process when necessary.
- J. Refer remaining unresolved cases to city for formal enforcement.
- K. Support enforcement proceedings with documentation.
- Report progress and status of all employers to city.

Product: Programs reviewed, approved, and documented, disputes handled per city agreement, reports produced for city and state.

Cost estimate for East King County: (City cost estimate depends on share of East King County total allocation.)

- 6 hours x \$ 32/hr x 273 employers = \$52,416 staff labor conduct final review & approval of employer programs
- 3 hours x \$26/hr x 273 employers = \$21,294 staff labor receive, document, conduct preliminary review of employer programs
- 3 hours x \$26/hr x 273 employers = \$21,294 labor enter program and response data
- \$37 x 273 employers = \$10,101 postage/forms forms for program review

city R le: Assist issu s resolution, if n cessary; nfor requirements.

, 1

ELEMENT V. OFFICE ESTABLISHMENT

Phas 1

TASK V-1. Office space options. Metro will plan the office for staff dedicated to East King County.

- A. Determine need and desire for field office(s).
- B. Develop cost estimates for alternative office locations.
- C. Recommend staff locations and budget to city, Coordinating Committee, and/or area consortium.

Phase 2

TASK V-2 Office set-up and maintenance.

A. Establish staff offices per decision.

C st estimate for East King County:

One-time capital costs:

\$ 12,750 3 computers, 1 modem

\$ 12,000 4 office furniture/panels

\$ 2,000 1 fax machine

\$ 15,000 1 car

\$ 1,000 3 telephone lines

Total capital: \$42,750

On-going office expenses:

\$ 8,400 400 sq.ft. lease/yr

\$ 3,176 supplies/yr for 3 positions

\$ 2,400 copier lease/yr

\$ 2,000 training for 2 positions

Total office expenses: \$15,976

Total office costs: \$58,726

City role: Participate in King County CTR Coordinating Committ , and/or area consortium, in decision on staff location.

Exhibit C

09/21/92

COMMUTE TRIP REDUCTION LAW CITY OF KIRKLAND CONTRACT

R-3766

METRO SCOPE OF WORK BUDGET SUMMARY

(through 10/31/93)

Two budgets are summarized below, the area-wide budget and an individual city's share of those costs individual city contract costs are distributed in proportion to the city's share of the total CTR allocation for the seven Eastside jurisdictions (Element I, however, is charged at a flat rate per jurisdiction).

Total Eastside CTR	\$556,894	
City of Kirkland	CTR allocation	\$63,237
City of Kirkland	share of total Eastside	11.4%

		# Phase 1→ Planning ::	Phase 2 - Phase	Planning	Phase 2- Implementation (estimated)
t	Ordinance Review/Development		_		•
	Task 1	\$9,273	.	\$1,697	-
	Task 2	N/A	-	\$2,063	-
11	Worksite Identification/Notification				
	Task 1	\$5,063	-	\$575	-
	Task 2	-	\$12,704	-	\$1,443
111	Employer Assistance				-
	Task 1	\$7,750	} -	\$880	} -
	Task 2	-	\$154,440		\$17,537
١٧	Program Review/Reporting				
	Task 1	\$4,320	-	\$491	-
	Task 2	-	\$105,105	-	\$11,935
.,	Office Franklish work		\$58,726		\$6,869
٧	Office Establishment		\$30,720		
	Contingency @ 5%		\$19,350		\$2,197

Total Eastside Cost by Phi	85 0	\$26,406	\$350,325	!			
Total Eastside			_	\$376,731			 -
Total Contract by Phase:	Kirkland				\$5,706	\$39,780	
Total Contract	Kirkland						\$45,486

File: kdychodseki 971492ds

V Office Establishment

V-1 Office Space Options

V-2 Office Set-up and Maintenance

#403