RESOLUTION NO 3762

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND RATIFYING THE COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES ADOPTED BY KING COUNTY PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT

WHEREAS, RCW 36 70A 210 (Growth Management Act) requires that, through a process agreed to by King County, the City of Seattle and incorporated suburban cities and towns, King County as the legislative authority adopt countywide planning policies no later than July 1, 1992, and

WHEREAS, King County, Seattle, and the suburban cities and towns established a process for the development of such countywide planning policies by interlocal agreement approved pursuant to City of Kirkland Resolution No 3714, as later amended by Resolution No 3751, and

WHEREAS, said interlocal agreement provides for a ratification process requiring 30% of the jurisdictions in King County representing at least 70% of the population to ratify the countywide planning policies adopted by King County, and

WHEREAS, in adopting Ordinance No 10450, King County expressly conditioned its adoption upon completion of the Phase II SEIS and fiscal analysis, and the county comprehensive plan amendments and regulations to implement the countywide policies, subject to completion of the ratification process provided for in Ordinance No 10450,

Be it resolved by the City Council of the City of Kirkland as follows

<u>Section 1</u> The City of Kirkland, acting pursuant to that certain Interlocal Agreement Among King County, the City of Seattle, and Suburban Cities and Towns in King County for the Growth Management Planning Council of King County, hereby ratifies the countywide planning policies adopted by King County pursuant to Ordinance No 10450 passed on July 6, 1992, a copy of which ordinance has been attached to this resolution

<u>Section 2</u> The City of Kirkland adds the following provision to their ratification

In the event that any subpolicy within the countywide planning policies is found to be inconsistent with the City of Kirkland locally adopted Comprehensive Plan policies prepared pursuant to the Growth Management Act, the City of Kirkland policy will prevail

<u>Section 3</u> If any provision of this resolution or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the resolution shall also be invalid

<u>Section 4</u> The City Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the King County Council

PASSED by majority vote of the Kirkland City Council on the <u>15th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 19_92

SIGNED IN AUTHENTICATION THEREOF on the <u>15th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 19.92

are fined Mayor

ATTEST

Clei

5

1

Resolution R-

Introduced by Sullivan/Laing July 1, 1992 92-43958 MMcF/JC.hdm Gruger/Phillips Derdowski Proposed No. 92-439 ORDINANCE NO 10450 1 2 AN ORDINANCE adopting the Countywide 3 Planning Policies pursuant to RCW 4 5 36 70A.210 and ratifying the Countywide 6 Planning Policies for unincorporated King 7 County. 8 PREAMBLE. For the purpose of meeting the requirements of the State 9 10 of Washington Growth Management Act to establish a countywide framework from which comprehensive plans are to be developed as 11 specified in RCW 36 70A 210, the King County Council makes the 12 following findings. 13 1. The Countywide Planning Policies describe the vision 14 for King County and provide the initial strategies to be used 15 by local jurisdictions, acting individually and cooperatively, 16 to achieve that vision 17 RCW 36 70A 210 requires that, through a process agreed 18 19 to by King County (county), the City of Seattle (Seattle), and incorporated suburban cities and towns (suburban cities), the 20 county, as the legislative authority, adopt Countywide Planning 21 Policies no later than July 1, 1992 22 The county, Seattle, and suburban cities established 23 24 that process through an interlocal agreement creating the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) The GMPC is 25 comprised of the King County Executive, five members of the 26 King County Council, three representatives of Seattle, and Bix 27 representatives of the suburban cities with three votes, and 28 one ex-officio member representing the Port of Seattle 29 4. After six months of deliberation which included public 30 workshops and hearings, the GMPC adopted and recommended the 31 Countywide Planning Policies to the King County Council 32 33 The council finds that the existing environmental documents adopted by King County on May 5, 1992 and the 34 35 supporting addendum issued on June 18, 1992 are adequate under SEPA for the purposes of the county's adoption of the 36 37 Countywide Planning Policies The county recognizes that additional work is planned 38 to further refine the Countywide Planning Policies with regard 39 to numerous issues, including but not limited to urban centers, 40 manufacturing and industrial areas and centers, affordable 41 housing, mobility, transportation, economic development, rural 42 character, provision of urban services, including services in 43 potential annexation areas, and adjustments to the Urban Growth Area Based on this work, the GMPC will recommend to the county amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies. These 44 45 46 amendments would be subject to further environmental review, 47 The and adoption by the county and ratification by the cities 48 results of this work would be a refined set of Countywide 49 Planning Policies A Supplemental Environmental Impact 50 Statement (SEIS) will analyze the impacts of the proposed set 51 of refined policies and will consider reasonable alternatives 52 92 43958 MHcf hom Exhibit A to July 6 1992 10 02am

JUL 8, 1992 11 27AM P 03 R-3762 10430

Attachment A lays out the work program and to those policies 1 2 timetable for refining the policies. With respect to the Urban Growth Area (UGA) Boundary a 3 number of study areas have been identified which require 4 additional consideration by the GMPC. These study areas are 5 identified on the GMPC Recommended Urban Growth Area map. For 6 the East Sammamish area, the GMPC determined that the area 7 should be further evaluated and possibly revised based on the 8 East Sammamish Community Plan Update process which is now under 9 way and which will be completed in January 1993. 10 Recommendations on the UGA Boundary will be developed in 11 cooperation with the affected cities, neighborhoods, property 12 owners and the general public Changes to the adopted UGA 13 Boundary may be recommended to the county by the GMPC and 14 subject to adoption and ratification 15 16 8. The Countywide Planning Policies apply within King County only and therefore only apply to unincorporated King 17 County and to that portion of a city or town located within the 18 19 county 20 The Countywide Planning Policies provide for the 9 coordination and regulation of public and private development 21 and bear a substantial relationship to, and are necessary for, 22 the public health, safety, and general welfare of King County 23 24 and its residents BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY 25 The county will implement the major planning 26 SECTION 1 requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) in three 27 28 phases, each accompanied by the appropriate scope and level of 29 environmental review pursuant to both the GMA and the State 30 Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and fiscal review Phase I is 31 the adoption of the Countywide Planning Policies for the 32 purposes described in Section 2. Phase II is the process for 33 refinement of Countywide Planning Policies through proposed 34 amendments to them, and the preparation of an SEIS and a fiscal 35 analysis Phase II, which will begin upon adoption of the 36 Countywide Planning Policies, is described in Section 3. Phase 37 III is the review and adoption of amendments to the King County 38 Comprehensive Plan. Phase III will incorporate any changes 39 made to the Countywide Planning Policies in Phase II. 40 The Countywide Planning Policies attached SECTION 2. 41 hereto are hereby approved and adopted for purposes of 42 complying with RCW 36 70A.210; to begin the process of city 43 review and ratification; to provide a policy framework for 44 developing and updating jurisdicti ns' comprehensive plans, to 92 439sB KHcf hdm July 6 1992 10 02am

TO COB PLAN/FINANCE

B 1992 11 28AM P 04 R-3762

10400

provide a policy framework for interim controls to the extent 1 the policies expressly require them, and to establish a program 2 for the additional work necessary to refine, amend and 3 implement the Countywide Planning Policies, including SEIS 4 review and fiscal analysis 5 SECTION 3. In Phase II the county will reconvene the 6 GMPC no later than December 1992 to evaluate the following 7 information and recommendations. nominations of urban and 8 manufacturing/industrial centers by affected jurisdictions; the 9 10 target numbers for population and employment by jurisdiction; recommendations from the Rural Character, Affordable Housing 11 and Economic Development Task Forces; further fiscal analysis, 12 13 analysis of mobility and transportation, other relevant information and public comment, in preparing amendments GMPC 14 will consider the results of the additional work and may 15 recommend amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies to the 16 Any such recommended amendments shall be subject to 17 county adoption by the county and ratification by the cities according 18 19 to the formula in the interlocal agreement creating the GMPC Further fiscal analysis of the Countywide Planning Policies, 20 any proposed amendments and alternatives will be prepared and 21 22 circulated for public comment. The objectives of the fiscal analysis are to a) provide information on the anticipated 23 financial and economic impacts on the individual, and on the 24 25 private and public sectors, and b) determine how these impacts 26 affect the fiscal viability of the individual and of the private and public sectors A SEIS will be prepared for the 27 proposed refined set of Countywide Planning Policies resulting 28 The SEIS will analyze from the work described in this Section 29 the probable significant environmental impacts, including 30 countywide impacts, of the proposed refined set of policies and 31 reasonable alternatives to those policies 32 The scope of the 33 environmental impact statement will be based on a public 34 scoping process pursuant to WAC 197-11-408

92 439s8 WHof hom July 6, 1992 10 02am

FROM KING COUNTY COUNCIL TO COB PLAN/FINANCE

٠

.

ļ

ł

-

JUL 8, 1992 11 29AM P 85

ı	SECTION 4 Countywide Planning Policies adopted by this		
2	ordinance for the purposes specified herein are hereby ratified		
3	on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County		
4	SECTION 5. The Countywide Planning Policies shall become		
5	effective when ratified by ordinance or resolution by at least		
6	thirty percent of the city and county governments representing		
7	seventy percent of the population of King County according to		
8	the interlocal agreement A city shall be deemed to have		
9	ratified the Countywide Planning Policies unless, within ninety		
10	days of adoption by King County, the city by legislative action		
11	disapproves the Countywide Planning Policies.		
12	SECTION 6 The county executive shall commence		
13	preparation of the Phase II SEIS and fiscal analysis, and the		
14	county comprehensive plan amendments and regulations to		
15	implement the countywide policies, subject to completion of the		
16	ratification process set out in Section 5 The Countywide		
17	Planning Policies will affect the county's land use decisions		
18	when the county comprehensive plan or land use regulations		
19	implementing the policies are adopted.		
20	SECTION 7 The county executive shall develop and		
21	propose to the council a process to enter into interlocal		
22	agreements relating to each city's potential annexation area		
23	The process shall include consultation with affected special		

24 purpose districts

92 439s8 NMcF hom July 6, 1992 10 02am

4

-

1045

Should any section, subsection, paragraph, SECTION 8. 1 sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or its application 2 to any person or circumstance be declared unconstitutional or 3 invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the 4 validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance or it 5 application to other persons or circumstances. 6 INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this _____ day 7 ____, 19<u>9</u>2 fune 8 of ___ PASSED this _____ day of _____ , 1992 9 KING COUNTY COUNCIL 10 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON 11 12 13 ATTEST . 14 15 the Council Clerk of 16 CAT day of ----1992 4 APPROVED this ____ 17 18 King County Executive 19 20 92 439s8 XK-F hdm July 6, 1992 10 02am

.

١

-

ATTACHMENT A

10450

Work Program to Refine Countrwide Planning Policies

The completion dates are points at which the GMPC is expected to review and consider uniendments to the Countywide Planning Policies Jurisdictions have additional tasks to complete or revise local comprehensive plans

PUBLIC REVIEW WILL CONTINUE AS MATERIALS ARE PREPARED AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM JURISDICI IONS ARE DEVELOPED

Task		GMPC Completion Date
1	Scoping of additional issues requiring supplemental environmental review	September 1992
2	Urban Growth Boundary Interum actions by cities and County 7 echnical review of study areas	One month after ratification October 1992
3	Centers and Capacity Urban and Manufacturing/Industrial Centers nomination & confirmation (LU 28 & 39) Dwelling units accommodated/distributed, employment growth distributed (LU 52 & LU 53)	December 1992 December 1992
4	Affordable Housing needs and distribution (AH 1) (includes recommend tions from Task Force of GMPC private sector)	December 1992
5	Economic Development Policies (includes recommendations from Task Force of GMPC private sector)	December 1992
6	Rural Arcas Rural character (LU-9) (includes recommendations from GMPC Task Force) Cities in rural areas growth areas (LU-26)	December 1992 January 1993

jbe mme cepwk 62592

92 43968 HMcFihdh July 6, 1992 10 02mm