

RESOLUTION NO 3762

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND RATIFYING THE COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES ADOPTED BY KING COUNTY PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT**

**WHEREAS, RCW 36 70A 210 (Growth Management Act) requires that, through a process agreed to by King County, the City of Seattle and incorporated suburban cities and towns, King County as the legislative authority adopt countywide planning policies no later than July 1, 1992, and**

**WHEREAS, King County, Seattle, and the suburban cities and towns established a process for the development of such countywide planning policies by interlocal agreement approved pursuant to City of Kirkland Resolution No 3714, as later amended by Resolution No 3751, and**

**WHEREAS, said interlocal agreement provides for a ratification process requiring 30% of the jurisdictions in King County representing at least 70% of the population to ratify the countywide planning policies adopted by King County, and**

**WHEREAS, in adopting Ordinance No 10450, King County expressly conditioned its adoption upon completion of the Phase II SEIS and fiscal analysis, and the county comprehensive plan amendments and regulations to implement the countywide policies, subject to completion of the ratification process provided for in Ordinance No 10450,**

**Be it resolved by the City Council of the City of Kirkland as follows**

**Section 1 The City of Kirkland, acting pursuant to that certain Interlocal Agreement Among King County, the City of Seattle, and Suburban Cities and Towns in King County for the Growth Management Planning Council of King County, hereby ratifies the countywide planning policies adopted by King County pursuant to Ordinance No 10450 passed on July 6, 1992, a copy of which ordinance has been attached to this resolution**

**Section 2 The City of Kirkland adds the following provision to their ratification**


**In the event that any subpolicy within the countywide planning policies is found to be inconsistent with the City of Kirkland locally adopted Comprehensive Plan policies prepared pursuant to the Growth Management Act, the City of Kirkland policy will prevail**

**Section 3 If any provision of this resolution or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the resolution shall also be invalid**


**Section 4 The City Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the King County Council**

PASSED by majority vote of the Kirkland City Council on the 15th day of  
September, 1992

SIGNED IN AUTHENTICATION THEREOF on the 15th day of  
September, 1992

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

ATTEST

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

July 1, 1992  
92-439s8 MMCF/JC:hdm

Introduced by: Sullivan/Laing  
Gruger/Phillips  
Derdowski

Proposed No. 92-439

# 10450

## ORDINANCE NO

AN ORDINANCE adopting the Countywide Planning Policies pursuant to RCW 36 70A.210 and ratifying the Countywide Planning Policies for unincorporated King County.

### PREAMBLE.

For the purpose of meeting the requirements of the State of Washington Growth Management Act to establish a countywide framework from which comprehensive plans are to be developed as specified in RCW 36 70A 210, the King County Council makes the following findings:

1. The Countywide Planning Policies describe the vision for King County and provide the initial strategies to be used by local jurisdictions, acting individually and cooperatively, to achieve that vision

2 RCW 36 70A 210 requires that, through a process agreed to by King County (county), the City of Seattle (Seattle), and incorporated suburban cities and towns (suburban cities), the county, as the legislative authority, adopt Countywide Planning Policies no later than July 1, 1992

3 The county, Seattle, and suburban cities established that process through an interlocal agreement creating the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) The GMPC is comprised of the King County Executive, five members of the King County Council, three representatives of Seattle, and six representatives of the suburban cities with three votes, and one ex-officio member representing the Port of Seattle

4. After six months of deliberation which included public workshops and hearings, the GMPC adopted and recommended the Countywide Planning Policies to the King County Council

5 The council finds that the existing environmental documents adopted by King County on May 5, 1992 and the supporting addendum issued on June 18, 1992 are adequate under SEPA for the purposes of the county's adoption of the Countywide Planning Policies

6 The county recognizes that additional work is planned to further refine the Countywide Planning Policies with regard to numerous issues, including but not limited to urban centers, manufacturing and industrial areas and centers, affordable housing, mobility, transportation, economic development, rural character, provision of urban services, including services in potential annexation areas, and adjustments to the Urban Growth Area Based on this work, the GMPC will recommend to the county amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies. These amendments would be subject to further environmental review, and adoption by the county and ratification by the cities The results of this work would be a refined set of Countywide Planning Policies A Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) will analyze the impacts of the proposed set of refined policies and will consider reasonable alternatives

10450

1 to those policies Attachment A lays out the work program and  
2 timetable for refining the policies.

3 7 With respect to the Urban Growth Area (UGA) Boundary a  
4 number of study areas have been identified which require  
5 additional consideration by the GMPC. These study areas are  
6 identified on the GMPC Recommended Urban Growth Area map. For  
7 the East Sammamish area, the GMPC determined that the area  
8 should be further evaluated and possibly revised based on the  
9 East Sammamish Community Plan Update process which is now under  
10 way and which will be completed in January 1993.  
11 Recommendations on the UGA Boundary will be developed in  
12 cooperation with the affected cities, neighborhoods, property  
13 owners and the general public Changes to the adopted UGA  
14 Boundary may be recommended to the county by the GMPC and  
15 subject to adoption and ratification

16 8. The Countywide Planning Policies apply within King  
17 County only and therefore only apply to unincorporated King  
18 County and to that portion of a city or town located within the  
19 county

20 9 The Countywide Planning Policies provide for the  
21 coordination and regulation of public and private development  
22 and bear a substantial relationship to, and are necessary for,  
23 the public health, safety, and general welfare of King County  
24 and its residents

25 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY

26 SECTION 1 The county will implement the major planning  
27 requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) in three  
28 phases, each accompanied by the appropriate scope and level of  
29 environmental review pursuant to both the GMA and the State  
30 Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and fiscal review Phase I is  
31 the adoption of the Countywide Planning Policies for the  
32 purposes described in Section 2. Phase II is the process for  
33 refinement of Countywide Planning Policies through proposed  
34 amendments to them, and the preparation of an SEIS and a fiscal  
35 analysis Phase II, which will begin upon adoption of the  
36 Countywide Planning Policies, is described in Section 3. Phase  
37 III is the review and adoption of amendments to the King County  
38 Comprehensive Plan. Phase III will incorporate any changes  
39 made to the Countywide Planning Policies in Phase II.

40 SECTION 2. The Countywide Planning Policies attached  
41 hereto are hereby approved and adopted for purposes of  
42 complying with RCW 36 70A.210; to begin the process of city  
43 review and ratification; to provide a policy framework for  
44 developing and updating jurisdictions' comprehensive plans, to

10450

1 provide a policy framework for interim controls to the extent  
2 the policies expressly require them, and to establish a program  
3 for the additional work necessary to refine, amend and  
4 implement the Countywide Planning Policies, including SEIS  
5 review and fiscal analysis

6 SECTION 3. In Phase II the county will reconvene the  
7 GMPC no later than December 1992 to evaluate the following  
8 information and recommendations. nominations of urban and  
9 manufacturing/industrial centers by affected jurisdictions; the  
10 target numbers for population and employment by jurisdiction;  
11 recommendations from the Rural Character, Affordable Housing  
12 and Economic Development Task Forces; further fiscal analysis,  
13 analysis of mobility and transportation, other relevant  
14 information and public comment, in preparing amendments GMPC  
15 will consider the results of the additional work and may  
16 recommend amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies to the  
17 county Any such recommended amendments shall be subject to  
18 adoption by the county and ratification by the cities according  
19 to the formula in the interlocal agreement creating the GMPC  
20 Further fiscal analysis of the Countywide Planning Policies,  
21 any proposed amendments and alternatives will be prepared and  
22 circulated for public comment. The objectives of the fiscal  
23 analysis are to a) provide information on the anticipated  
24 financial and economic impacts on the individual, and on the  
25 private and public sectors, and b) determine how these impacts  
26 affect the fiscal viability of the individual and of the  
27 private and public sectors A SEIS will be prepared for the  
28 proposed refined set of Countywide Planning Policies resulting  
29 from the work described in this Section The SEIS will analyze  
30 the probable significant environmental impacts, including  
31 countywide impacts, of the proposed refined set of policies and  
32 reasonable alternatives to those policies The scope of the  
33 environmental impact statement will be based on a public  
34 scoping process pursuant to WAC 197-11-408

10400

1           SECTION 4     Countywide Planning Policies adopted by this  
 2 ordinance for the purposes specified herein are hereby ratified  
 3 on behalf of the population of unincorporated King County

4           SECTION 5.   The Countywide Planning Policies shall become  
 5 effective when ratified by ordinance or resolution by at least  
 6 thirty percent of the city and county governments representing  
 7 seventy percent of the population of King County according to  
 8 the interlocal agreement   A city shall be deemed to have  
 9 ratified the Countywide Planning Policies unless, within ninety  
 10 days of adoption by King County, the city by legislative action  
 11 disapproves the Countywide Planning Policies.

12           SECTION 6    The county executive shall commence  
 13 preparation of the Phase II SEIS and fiscal analysis, and the  
 14 county comprehensive plan amendments and regulations to  
 15 implement the countywide policies, subject to completion of the  
 16 ratification process set out in Section 5   The Countywide  
 17 Planning Policies will affect the county's land use decisions  
 18 when the county comprehensive plan or land use regulations  
 19 implementing the policies are adopted.

20           SECTION 7    The county executive shall develop and  
 21 propose to the council a process to enter into interlocal  
 22 agreements relating to each city's potential annexation area  
 23 The process shall include consultation with affected special  
 24 purpose districts

10450

1            SECTION 8.    Should any section, subsection, paragraph,  
 2 sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or its application  
 3 to any person or circumstance be declared unconstitutional or  
 4 invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the  
 5 validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance or its  
 6 application to other persons or circumstances.

7            INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 8<sup>th</sup> day  
 8 of June, 1992

9            PASSED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1992

10  
11

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

12  
13

Audrey Gruger  
Chair

14

ATTEST:

15  
16

Gerald G. Peterson  
Clerk of the Council

17

APPROVED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1992

18  
19  
20

Jim Kelly  
King County Executive

10450

## ATTACHMENT A

Work Program to Refine Countywide Planning Policies

The completion dates are points at which the GMPC is expected to review and consider amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies. Jurisdictions have additional tasks to complete or revise local comprehensive plans.

**PUBLIC REVIEW WILL CONTINUE AS MATERIALS ARE PREPARED AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM JURISDICTIONS ARE DEVELOPED**

<u>Task</u>	<u>GMPC Completion Date</u>
1 Scoping of additional issues requiring supplemental environmental review	September 1992
2 Urban Growth Boundary Interim actions by cities and County Technical review of study areas	One month after ratification October 1992
3 Centers and Capacity Urban and Manufacturing/Industrial Centers nomination & confirmation (LU 28 & 39)	December 1992
Dwelling units accommodated/distributed, employment growth distributed (LU 52 & LU 53)	December 1992
4 Affordable Housing needs and distribution (AH 1) (includes recommendations from Task Force of GMPC private sector)	December 1992
5 Economic Development Policies (includes recommendations from Task Force of GMPC private sector)	December 1992
6 Rural Areas Rural character (LU-9) (includes recommendations from GMPC Task Force) Cities in rural areas growth areas (LU-26)	December 1992 January 1993

jbc mmc  
ccpwk 62592