A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND ADOPTING 1993 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT LOCAL FUNDING GUIDELINES AND AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF A LETTER OF INTENT TO APPLY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS

WHEREAS, the City of Kirkland jointly participates with King County and the application for funds pursuant to the Federal Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, and

WHEREAS, the 1993 Block Grant Consortium Policy Plan will include established local jurisdiction funding guidelines for 1993 block grant projects, and

WHEREAS, the City administration has developed and recommended to the City Council community development block grant local funding guidelines to be utilized by the City of Kirkland for inclusion in the 1993 Block Grant Consortium Guidelines, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered said recommendation in an open regular City Council meeting

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Kirkland as follows

<u>Section I.</u> The City Council hereby approves after consideration the City of Kirkland 1993 community development block grant local funding guidelines, a copy of which is attached to the original of this resolution and incorporated herein

<u>Section 2.</u> Once adopted and included in the King County Block Grant Consortium 1993 Policy Plan, said local funding guidelines shall be utilized in preparing and reviewing block grant program and project requests

<u>Section 3.</u> The City Council hereby authorized the Mayor to submit a letter to King County Housing and Community Development expressing the City's intent to apply for Community Development Block Grant Funds for Public Service projects, Planning and Management, and Housing Repair Programs

<u>Section 4.</u> A certified or conformed copy of this resolution with attachments shall be forwarded to the King County Housing and Community Development Division, 707 Smith Tower, 506 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104, by not later than April 3, 1992

PASSED by majority vote of the Kirkland City Council in regular, open meeting on the <u>21s</u>tday of <u>April</u>, 1992

SIGNED in Authentication thereof on the 21st day of April, 1992

ahre

Attest

Clerk ORVRES COSG/3 31 92/AW

- 1 -

CITY OF KIRKLAND

1993 Community Development Block Grant Local Program Policies

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The City of Kirkland is located along the northeastern shore of Lake Washington, encompassing 10.3 square miles The 1990 Federal census estimated the City's population as 40,050 The City estimates that 12,500 individuals are classified as low/moderate income Kirkland has well-established residential areas, since it has been incorporated since 1905 Commercial activities in the central business district, outlying neighborhood commercial centers, and commercial/industrial parks continue to expand

Kirkland has an extensive parks system with over 34 percent of its 58 miles of Lake Washington shoreline in public ownership Park usage has increased dramatically with an ever-increasing demand for baseball fields and waterfront facilities Prior to 1988, Kirkland's level of service for parks and open space was 15 acres of park land per 1,000 population Following annexation, Kirkland's level of service has fallen to slightly less than 11 acres per 1,000 population

NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The areas annexed in 1988 have a deficiency of public sidewalks, storm drainage, parks, and other public facilities The City has begun to address these concerns through the Capital Improvement Program and Parks Bond fund To the extent capital projects are in block grant eligible service areas, block grant funding will be considered as an additional resource to meet these needs

The need for affordable housing is an increasing issue in Kirkland as in all of the Eastside cities The City has attempted to address this concern through contributing block grant funds to consortium administered housing repair programs Through March 30, 1992, Kirkland residents received \$64,463 in consortium housing repair loans and grants

The City's Human Service needs mirror the findings of Eastside Work Group Group of the Human Service Roundtable Their 1988 report detailed five high priority service needs

Child Care	Limited affordable, quality day care and subsidies for low-income families
Youth	Increasing need for resources and services related to youths, pregnancy, substance abuse, school dropout, unemployment, mental health, and physical/sexual abuse by others, with particular emphasis on the needs of minority youth
Family Support	The need for services supporting the families of single women with children or dependent parents, families experiencing domestic violence or substance abuse, minority families, and the elderly, disabled, or frail

Health Care	Lack of	health	scrv1ces	for	working	poor	not
	qualifying	for pul	blic assist	ance	or able to	purc	hase
	Services						

Housing The acute need for subsidized, affordable, and specialized housing

PROGRAM POLICIES

- Capital Projects The City has been improving its pedestrian network by constructing sidewalks which link neighborhoods to essential public services and business districts The City places a high priority on creating linkages between low/ moderate income neighborhoods and essential public services and will continue to use CDBG funds to help accomplish this goal The City will also consider using CDBG funds to rebuild the City's deteriorating infrastructure, improve its park and recreational facilities to serve low and moderate income populations, and remove architectural barriers which impede access to City facilities and services for people with disabilities
- Public Services Projects should provide essential public services to low- and moderate-income persons which address the priority service needs
- Housing Projects will be encouraged which lead to preservation or expansion of housing occupied by low- and moderate-income residents The City will continue its contribution to the Consortium Housing Repair Program in an effort to address this need as well

Planning andFunds will be used for staff support to plan and
manage the City's CDBG program

- 1) To ensure maximum cost effectiveness, public service dollars will be allocated in increments of not less than \$1,500
- 2) In addressing the needs of its low- and moderate-income citizens, the City also realizes that there are regional needs that cut across all jurisdictions within the Consortium The City should continue in 1993 to address the funding of regional and subregional systems The Cities of Kirkland, Bellevue, Redmond, and Bothell, along with King County, will be conducting a joint public service fund application workshop in May Additionally, a joint staff level review of

Funding Policies

PROGRAM POLICIES Funding Policies - Continued

project applications will be conducted this summer There is currently no formal mechanism for addressing these Consortiumwide/ regional or subregional needs with CDBG money Kirkland is exploring with the cities of Redmond and Bellevue the creation of such a mechanism This mechanism would provide an avenue for the City to direct a fair share of its CDBG dollars to fund those regional or subregional projects which can demonstrate a benefit to the citizens of Kirkland

3) CDBG funds should be allocated to projects which can demonstrate an immediate need which must be met to benefit the low- and moderate-income citizens of the City These projects should be carried out in a timely manner Any projects which are not underway by the time the City develops its projects for the next year's allocation, will be reexamined by the City for possible cancellation and reallocation



THE CITY OF ______ QUALIFIES FOR AN

ESTIMATED \$ 223,879 00 IN 1993 KING COUNTY CONSORTIUM CDBG FUNDS,

WHICH WILL BE AVAILABLE JANUARY 1, 1993

Please check one:

_____ Our City does not elect to receive the above estimated pass-through Please return it to the County and Small Cities fund We will compete for CDBG funding for our proposed project(s) through that fund

- or -

X Our City accepts the above estimated pass-through, and encloses the <u>Local Program Policies</u> that will guide the allocation of these funds Our City has held at least one public meeting before adopting these Local Program Policies

Cities which accept the pass-through should complete the following if applicable

We would like to be able to allocate \$ 34,887 00 of our pass-through to <u>public (human) service activities</u>, if possible

We would like to be able to allocate <u>\$_2,000.00</u> of our pass-through to <u>planning and administration activities</u>, if possible

We would like our City's residents to be able to participate in King County's housing repair programs. Therefore, we will allocate $\frac{65,000\ 00}{100}$ of our pass-through for housing repair, understanding that 17% will be used for program delivery costs

We would like to contribute our share of the Program Income from the Community Development Interim Loan (CDIL) program ($\frac{5,736}{00}$) to address the needs of the Consortiumwide emergency shelter system and other one-time-only projects. We understand that these funds will be balanced between the east and south parts of the Consortium

4-21.92

Signature of Mayor

Please attach a copy of the City Council's authorization of the above and return to PCDD by April 30, 1992

T403 (4/2/92)