RESOLUTION R-5521

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON, ADOPTING FINDINGS OF FACT TO SUPPORT THE MORATORIUM ORDINANCE ON AUTONOMOUS PERSONAL DELIVERY DEVICES IN KIRKLAND AND A PRELIMINARY SCOPE OF WORK TO EVALUATE THE USE OF AUTOMONOUS PERSONAL DELIVERY DEVICES IN KIRKLAND.

WHEREAS, the City of Kirkland ("City") is an Optional Municipal Code City organized under Title 35A of the *Revised Code of Washington* ("RCW"); and

WHEREAS, Washington State law empowers cities to regulate and protect public ways and real property of all kinds, such powers being illustrated but not exclusively contained within RCW 35A.11.020; and

WHEREAS, the Washington State Legislature passed HB 1325 in April 2019, which caused a new chapter RCW 46.75 to be codified, entitled, "Personal Delivery Devices," and

WHEREAS, RCW 46.75 says in part that an eligible entity may operate a personal delivery device in the State provided that it is operated in accordance with all ordinances, resolutions, rules and regulations established by the jurisdiction governing the rights-of-way within which the personal delivery device is operated; and

WHEREAS, in late 2021, the City received four building permit applications for the placement of four pre-fabricated "dispensers" to facilitate the deployment and operation of autonomous personal delivery devices within defined locations of the City (the "Applications"); and

WHEREAS, autonomous personal delivery devices are a relatively new technology that has not been permitted in the City previously, and

WHEREAS, the City at this time does not have any ordinances, resolutions, rules, or regulations concerning the use and storage of autonomous personal delivery devices; and

WHEREAS, the Applications raised questions for City staff such as, but not limited to, how to categorize and regulate such uses, implications for public safety, questions about such a use on the public rights-of-way, and uncertainties about community acceptance; and

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2022, the City Council unanimously enacted emergency ordinance O-4779 that imposed a moratorium within all zones of the City on the acceptance of applications for the

46

47

48

53

54

59

60 61 62

> 63 64

74

87 88

81

review and/or issuance of building permits for autonomous personal delivery device dispensers and on the acceptance of applications for the review and/or issuance of right-of-way use permits for autonomous personal delivery devices; and declaring an emergency; and

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2022, in order to increase community awareness of the public hearing and the potential moratorium, the City Council essentially ratified the emergency ordinance by unanimously passing the moratorium again in an open public meeting by enacting O-4782; and

WHEREAS, moratoria are regulated by the State through RCW 35A.63.220 and RCW 36.70A.390, which require the City to hold a public hearing within 60 days of the establishment of an emergency moratorium, and to enter findings of fact following the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing before the City Council concerning the moratorium adopted by emergency ordinance O-4779 occurred on Tuesday, March 1, 2022; and

WHERAS, findings of fact in support of the moratorium adopted by emergency ordinance 0-4779 are adopted by this Resolution, as set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, to assist City staff in drafting regulations concerning the use of autonomous personal delivery devices in the City, a preliminary scope of work was created and is attached hereto as Attachment A; and

WHEREAS, within the City, moratoria are regulated further by Kirkland Zoning Code 135.30.2, which requires approval of the Houghton Community Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the City Council of the City of Kirkland as follows:

- Section 1. By this Resolution the City Council adopts the following findings of fact to support the establishment of the moratorium described in emergency Ordinance O-4779 and Ordinance O-4782:
 - a. Washington State law permits the operation of autonomous personal delivery devices on and in sidewalks, crosswalks, and, if otherwise unavailable, areas where a pedestrian is permitted to travel, provided that the use and operation complies with applicable laws and regulations of the jurisdiction in which the autonomous personal delivery devices are operating.

	ı
	ı
	ı
89	ı
	ı
90	ı
91	ı
92	ı
93	ı
94	ı
95	ı
96	
97	1
98	ı
99	ı
	ı
100	
101	
102	
103	
104	
105	
105	l
100	l
107	ı
	۱
109	۱
110	1
111	ı
112	ı
113	١
114	١
115	١
116	١
117	ı
118	١
119	ı
120	
121	
122	
123	١
124	١
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
132	
133	
134	
135	
136	

137138

- The City has not yet enacted any ordinances, resolutions, rules, or regulations concerning the use of autonomous personal delivery devices within its rights-of-way.
- c. Autonomous personal delivery devices are a new technology, and currently are being tested or are in use in a small number of locations in the United States. They are being researched and developed for the package delivery industry as an alternative for "last mile" delivery.
- d. The City received four incomplete building permit applications for "dispensers" to facilitate the use of autonomous personal delivery devices in the City. The City does not yet have any adopted rules or regulations concerning dispensers for autonomous personal delivery devices.
- e. The City requires time to learn about this new technology, to review and analyze it, to determine any public safety concerns related to it, to look at how it has been regulated in other locations, to identify and address local concerns and interests about the use of the technology, and to draft proposed regulations that must be reviewed and refined by advisory bodies and the City Council.
- f. Some, but not all, of the safety issues related to permitting autonomous personal delivery devices are as follows:
 - 1. Will they be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act?
 - 2. What hours can they operate?
 - 3. Where can they operate safely? Can they be approved in all zones, or only some zones? Should they be barred from certain areas, such as school zones?
- g. Some, but not all, of the safety issues related to permitting dispensers for these devices are as follows:
 - Where can they be located?
 - 2. What environmental impacts are associated with them?
 - 3. How are they operated, and how prolific may they become?
 - 4. To what extent are the compatible with surrounding land uses?
- h. The City cannot safely permit autonomous personal delivery devices, or dispensers for those devices, in the City until these reviews and analyses have been completed and ordinances, resolutions, rules, and/or regulations concerning the use of autonomous personal delivery devices in the City

adopted and are in full force and effect in the City. 140 Section 2. A preliminary scope of work to evaluate the use of 141 autonomous personal delivery devices in Kirkland is attached to this 142 Resolution as Exhibit A and is intended to be used by the City as an 143 outline or plan to study these matters. This scope may be amended by 144 City staff without further Council action in response to questions or 145 issues that arise as a result of conducting the evaluation. 146 147 148 Passed by a majority vote of the Kirkland City Council in open 149

of Kirkland, and dispensers for those devices, have been

meeting this 1 day of March, 2022.

Signed in authentication thereof this 1 day of March, 2022.

139

150 151

Kathi Anderson, City Clerk

Exhibit A to Resolution R-5521 March 1, 2022

Preliminary Scope of Work to Evaluate the Use of Autonomous Personal Delivery Devices in Kirkland

This preliminary scope of work may be amended based upon testimony at a public hearing, City Council direction, and additional questions or issues raised as a result of research.

Category I: Basic Facts About This Emerging Technology

- A. What is the purpose of this new technology and how does it operate?
- B. Dispenser data and operation
 - 1. Standard dimensions and capacity
 - 2. Utility requirements
 - 3. Environmental impacts (e.g., noise, light, glare, and aesthetics)
 - 4. Siting criteria and preferred types of locations for dispensers
 - 5. Amount of clear area required around dispenser for loading
 - 6. Near-term and projected frequencies of loading deliveries and times of day/days of week
 - 7. Loading delivery details: type of vehicle, average loading time, vehicle parking/waiting needs
 - 8. Service area geography of dispensers
 - 9. Signage requirements
 - 10. Regulatory context
- C. Scout delivery device data and operation
 - 1. Standard dimensions and capacity
 - 2. Recharging requirements
 - 3. Speed and speed controls
 - 4. Maneuverability/adaptability to hills and hazards
 - 5. Autonomous mode versus with operator intervention
 - 6. Protocols if device loses charge, such as in the middle of an intersection or sidewalk
 - 7. Interactions with pets or wildlife
 - 8. Reaction to closed sidewalks, sidewalks under construction, downed limbs, etc.
 - 9. Time of day for operation
 - 10. Ability to operate in various weather conditions
 - 11. Does the device itself contain any hazardous materials (e.g., type of batteries)?
 - 12. Do the devices have cameras? If so, would the City have access to the video in the event of a crash or incident?
 - 13. Can the devices travel through side yards or over dirt trails?

- 14. Do the devices make noise?
- 15. How does the package actually get delivered from the sidewalk to the recipient's door?
- 16. Insurance requirements

Category II: Safety

- A. Traffic safety and records of reported accidents
- B. Pedestrian safety
 - 1. Interactions with pedestrians, pedestrians with strollers or carts, those with ADA/mobility challenges, and bikes and other wheeled devices
 - 2. Have any pedestrians been injured thus far in other markets?
 - 3. Adequacy of infrastructure to meet both APDD and ADA needs
 - 4. Bicycle safety and history of conflicts
 - 5. Emergency response impacts/implications
 - 6. Conflicts with other public users
 - 7. Are there pedestrian interface issues that Amazon is working on now to address/fix?

Category III: Proliferation and Saturation

- A. Quantity of dispensers and devices; potential "logjam"
- B. What's on the horizon for the technology?
- C. Other businesses with their own version of Scout

Category IV: Public Benefits

- A. What is the best case to be made for permitting this technology in Kirkland; what are the public benefits?
- B. Is the operation of this technology on public sidewalks beneficial?
- C. Vandalism, destruction, or theft
 - 1. Are the devices an attractive nuisance?
 - 2. Would the City be expected to investigate incidents?
- D. Are there other "last mile" delivery methods that would be more effective or safer?

Category V: Peer City Comparisons

- A. How have other cities addressed APDDs?
- B. What challenges have other cities experienced where they have been permitted?
- C. What are the fundamental commonalities and differences of adopted regulations in other cities?